

Combining Persulfate, *In Situ* Ferrate Generation and Enhanced Bioremediation for Safer, More Effective Remedial Actions

Will Moody – Provectus Jim Mueller – Provectus




Presentation Outline

- **♦ISCO** fundamentals
 - **♦**Chemical oxidations and oxidation potentials
 - ◆Partial oxidation = Known Limitation 1
 - **♦**Contaminant rebound = Know Limitation 2
- ◆Persulfate activation using ferric oxide, buffer and ferrate stabilizer
 - **♦**Composition and modes of action
 - ♦In Situ ferrate production = more complete oxidation to address KL1
 - ♦ Iron biogeochemistry = manages rebound to address KL2
 - **♦**Iron reactions yield safer ISCO process (H₂S production)
 - **♦**Case Studies
- **♦**Summary



Electron Transfer Reactions





ISCO = Breaking Bonds

Bond Type	Oxidation Potential Volts (eV)	
Carbon-Carbon (single)	2.5	
Long chain hydrocarbons PAHs, DRO, GRO		
Carbon-Carbon (one and a half)	2.0	
Aromatic Type - BTEX and PCP		
Carbon-Carbon (double)	1.5	
HVOCs, PCE, TCE, DCE, VC		
Carbon-Hydrogen (Alkanes)	1.0	





Oxidation Potentials	Volts		
Fluorine (F ₂)	2.87		
Hydroxyl radical (OH•)	2.80		
Sulfate radical (SO₄●)	2.60		
Ferrate (Fe ⁺⁶)	2.20		
Ozone (O ₃)	2.08		
Persulfate (S ₂ O ₈ -2)	2.01		
Hydrogen peroxide (H ₂ O ₂)	1.78		
Permanganate (MnO ₄ -)	1.68		
Chlorine (Cl ₂)	1.49		
https://sites.google.com/site/ecpreparation/ferrate-vi			

Fenton's

- Treats wide range of contaminants
- Short subsurface lifetime
- Difficult to apply in reactive soils

Persulfate

- Treats wide range of contaminants
- Sulfate radical forms slower than the hydroxyl radical, allowing a larger radius of influence

Provect-OX

- Generates Ferrate (Fe IV, V, VI possible)
- Treats wide range of contaminants
- Extended in situ lifetime w/ continual production
- Provides Fe as alternative e- acceptor, etc

Ozone

- Treats wide range of contaminants
- Short subsurface lifetime
- · Limited use in saturated zone

Permanganate -


- Treats limited range of contaminants
- Partial oxidation of TPHs, etc
- Long subsurface lifetime
- Potential effects on hydrogeology

Higher oxidation potential (V) = stronger oxidizer




Partial COI Oxidation – A Known Limitation with ISCO

In Situ Chemical Oxidation for Groundwater Remediation



Robert L. Siegrist, Michelle Crimi, Thomas J. Simpkin Springer Science & Business Media, Feb 26, 2011 - Technology & Engineering - 678 pages

Table 7.4 summarizes key conditions and findings from additional studies investigating enhanced biodegradation after oxidation, in the context of MNA or EISB (with bioaugmentation). PAH has been the primary target contaminant, with numerous studies demonstrating enhanced aerobic biodegradation after pre-oxidation with CHP (Kulik et al., 2006; Lee and Hosomi, 2001; Piskonen and Itävaara, 2004) or ozone (Kulik et al., 2006; Nam and Kukor, 2000; O'Mahony et al., 2006) to more biodegradable byproducts. Additional studies have demonstrated biodegradation of CHP reaction products of the dioxin isomer 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (Kao and Wu, 2000) and aerobic biodegradation of permanganate-oxidized cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine (RDX or Royal Demolition eXplosive) and its byproducts (Adam et al., 2005).



I. Ross, 2012. PE News




Figure 8. Changes in the concentration of PFOS and intermediates through the PFOS defluorination in $UV/K_2S_2O_8$ system. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074877.g008

strates the change



Contaminant Rebound – A Known Limitation with ISCO

Chemical Oxidation Enhanced Bioremediation 1E+3 1E+3 1E+2 1E+2 Normalized Parent Conc C/C_{initial} 1E+1 1E+1 1E-2 1E-3 1E-3 1E-4 1E-4 1E-5 1E-5 1E-6

X-axis showing sampling time (years) relative to initial treatment (Time 0)

Figure 1. Temporal concentration records for wells at source depletion sites. Concentration is normalized by the initial measured concentration. Sampling time is normalized by the time of the initial source depletion treatment.



Provect-OX® ISCO Technology

- - ➤ Chemical Oxidation via Sulfate (SO4•) Radical
 - ➤ Chemical Oxidation via Ferrate (Fe6+)
- ♦ Enhances Biological Attenuation via Sulfate and Iron Reduction Processes
- ♦ Terminating Reaction Results in Pyrite: An Abiotic Reactive Particle with similar Kinetics to ZVI
- ◆ Easily Transitions from Oxidation to Biological Attenuation to Abiotic Mineralization
- Safely Handled Catalyzed Process without the Hazards of Extreme Activators Caustics



Managing ISCO Limitations



Multiple Oxidation Reactions

Ferrate (IV, V VI) salts can be prepared from iron salts, hypochlorite and a base:

2 Fe⁺³ + 3 OCl⁻ + 4 OH⁻
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 FeO₄⁻² + 3 Cl⁻ + 2 H₂O
S₂O₈⁻² + ACTIVATOR [Fe⁺³] \rightarrow SO₄• - + e⁻ \rightarrow SO₄• -2



Oxidation Potentials	Volts
Fluorine (F ₂)	2.87
Hydroxyl radical (OH•)	2.80
Sulfate radical (SO₄●)	2.60
Ferrate (Fe ⁺⁶)	2.20
Ozone (O ₃)	2.08
Persulfate (S ₂ O ₈ -2)	2.01
Hydrogen peroxide (H ₂ O ₂)	1.78
Permanganate (MnO ₄ -)	1.68
Chlorine (Cl ₂)	1.49
https://sites.google.com/site/ecpreparation/ferrate-v	⁄i

Managing Partial Oxidation



Validation of Ferrate Production

Rx	Provect-OX Composition Persulfate:Fe2O3:buffer/ferrate stabilizer (4 hrs)	Abs 515 nM	Ferrate VI [M]	Ferrate VI (ppm)
1a	80:20:0 in DI water	<0.4	<1x10 ⁻⁵	<1
2a	80:20:0 in buffer (Na ₂ HPO ₄)	<0.4	<1x10 ⁻⁵	<1
1b	80:16:4 Terr-OR in DI water	0.330	2.87×10 ⁻⁴	>3,000
2b	80:16:4 Terr-OR in buffer (Na ₂ HPO ₄)	0.306	2.66x10 ⁻⁴	>3,000




 $C_{Fe(VI)}$ = Fe(VI) concentration (M) A_{515} = Absorbance at 515 nm (-) ϵ_{515} = 1150 M⁻¹cm⁻¹

l = Path length (1.0 cm)

Managing Partial Oxidation

Validation of Ferrate Production




- ◆ Fe⁺⁶ longer lived (>10 hr), less reactive
- ◆ Fe⁺⁴ shorter lived (<1 hr), more reactive
- **♦** Longevity pH dependent

Figure 3. Oxidation of <u>perfluorooctylsulfonate</u> and <u>perfluorooctanoic</u> acid by one application of ferrates at pH 9.0 and 7.0 after five days.

"Continuous" ferrate generation in situ with buffered conditions and proprietary ferrate stabilizer

Managing Partial Oxidation





Adapted from Kwon and Finneran, Biodegradation, 2008, V19(5), Page 705

Managing Rebound




Oxidation Reactions:

 $S208 - Fe^{-3} + Fe^{-3} + S04 - S$ $S208- + Fe(III) \rightarrow Fe(IV) \rightarrow Fe(V) \rightarrow Fe(VI)$

Sulfate Biological :

$$C_6H_6 + 3.754 SO_4^{2-} + 3 H_2O \rightarrow 2.25 H^+ + 6HCO_{3-} + 3.75H2S^-$$
Fe+3/Fe+2 Biological
 $C_6H_6 + 18 H_2O + 30 Fe^{3+} \rightarrow 6 HCO_3^- + 30 Fe^{2+} + 36 H^+$

FeS₂



- 100 lbs Sodium Persulfate 80 lbs sulfate what happens to that??
- If used as an electron acceptor, then SRB 80 lbs H₂S-
- Biological Attenuation via Sulfate + Iron Reduction Processes essential to manage this gas in situ

Hydrogen Sulfide

Colorless gas; rotten-egg smell Irritating to eyes/skin/respirator tract, Poison! Inhalation dizziness, nausea; high levels (>1000 ppm) can be instantly fatal causing respiratory paralysis. Cardiac effects may occur. Highly

CAS No. 7783-06-4

Fe-Reducers Aid H2S Removal



Provect-OX® with Terr-OR™

- Forms reactive ferrate + sulfate reactions
- ♦ Safely Handled; all in one bag
- **♦** Uses Fe⁺³ as activator
 - No heat generated = safer
 - Conserves oxidant
 - Enhances bioattenuation Fe + SO₄
 - Encourages the formation of pyrite
 - Minimizes release of H₂S
 - Minimizes heavy metal mobilization
- ◆ Terr-OR buffer / reactive ferrate stabilizer



- When Chelated Fe⁺² used as activator EDTA Consumes the Oxidant
- When Caustic is used as activator
 - Short lived reaction
 - H2S formed
 - Secondary plumes / metals (Cr)
 - **♦** Can generate heat
 - Handling and safety issues (50% sodium hydroxide)
- pH 10+ Does Not Support Biological reactions
- Does Not Manage Rebound




Case Study – Gas Station, NJ

```
Phase #1 - Oxidation
S208- + Fe0 \rightarrow Fe+3 + SO4- + SO4-2\cdot S208- + Fe(III) \rightarrow Fe(IV) \rightarrow Fe(V) \rightarrow Fe(VI)
Phase #2 - Iron Reduction
Fe^{+3}(OH)_3^- + C_6H_6 ---> CO_2 + H_2O + Fe_2+
Phase #3 - Sulfate Reduction
C_6H_6 + SO_4^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow H^+ + HCO^{3-} + HS^-
Phase #4 - Ferrous Sulfide Formation
HS^- + Fe^{+2} \rightarrow FeS_2
```



Courtesy Innovative Environmental Technologies, Inc.

Design and Implementation




Case Study – Gas Station, NJ

- Primarily fill
- ◆ Total of approximately 4,400 lbs of Provect-OX injected
- **♦** MW-2
 - ◆ Total BTEX 35 ug/L to ND after 8 months
 - Maximum iron concentration after injection 2.01 mg/L (7x baseline)
 - ◆ Sulfate 56.6 mg/L to 1,510 mg/L (injection) to 332 mg/L after 8 months
 - ◆ ORP from -14 to +220 (injection) to -40 after 8 months
 - ◆ pH range from 6.86 to 7.43



Case Study – Gas Station, WV

- ♦ St. Albans, WV Site
 - Former Gas Station
 - ◆ Approx. 3,800 sq ft area
 - Impacted with BTEX, MTBE, TBA
 - Primarily clay with depth to water ca. 5 ft bgs
 - ◆ Treatment interval from 6 to 12 ft bgs
 - Two monitoring wells impacted
 - Goal was significant reductions



Courtesy Innovative Environmental Technologies, Inc.

Design and Implementation

Case Study – Gas Station, WV

- ♦ MW-9 Highest Concs. 1 Year
 - Benzene 77 ug/L to ND
 - Ethylbenzene 66 ug/L to ND
 - ♦ MTBE 72 ug/L to ND
 - ◆ TBA 1,480 ug/L to ND
- ♦ MW-5R 2 Year
 - Benzene 12.2 ug/L to 2.01
 - Ethylbenzene 36 ug/L to ND
 - Sulfate 1,350 mg/L

Phase #2 - Iron Reduction

$$Fe^{+3}(OH)_3^- + C_6H_6 ---> CO_2 + H_2O + Fe_2 +$$

Phase #3 - Sulfate Reduction

$$C_6H_6 + SO_4^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow H^+ + HCO^{3-} + HS^-$$

Phase #4 - Ferrous Sulfide Formation

$$HS^- + Fe^{+2} \rightarrow FeS_2$$



Case Study – Mendota

- Active facility with desire for one-time application
- ◆ 500 tons of contaminated, vadose zone soil
- ◆ PCE >35,000 mg/kg and Toluene >4,000 mg/kg
- Goal was to reduce below site-specific soil saturation limits
- ◆ PCE goal ca. 2,000 mg/kg and
- ◆ Toluene goal ca.1,000 mg/kg





Case Study – Mendota

- **♦** 15 g / kg soil
- - ◆ PCE 38,000 mg/kg to <900 mg/kg</p>
 - ◆ Toluene 4,000 to <100 mg/kg
 </p>




Ending COI
Concentration
(ppm)
< 900 PCE

< 100 Toluene

Treatment Time

1 week



Summary

- ◆ Combination of Provect-OX contains persulfate + ferric oxide + proprietary ferrate stabilizer (ratios can vary based on site conditions)
- ♦ ISCO chemistries + *in situ* ferrate generation expands the range and improves the effectiveness of ISCO projects addresses partial oxidation and expands range
- ♠ Excess Fe sustains secondary bioremediation processes that help manage COI rebound
- Safer ISCO chemistry (all components in one bag, minimizes generation of H₂S, minimizes heavy metal mobilization to avoid secondary plumes)
- ♦ No extreme activation chemistries employed (pH 11 aquifers?)
- ♦ Other benefits relate to safety, regulatory compliance, and sustainability



Our Services

- Complimentary Site Evaluation
- Complimentary Review of Field Data
- Laboratory Treatability Studies
- Turn-Key, Pay-for-Performance & Shared-Risk Contracting Options
- **USA**
 - Illinois
 - New Jersey
 - Louisiana
 - Pennsylvania
 - Ohio
- Australia, Brazil, China, Colombia, Israel, Italy, Spain and Taiwan



will.moody@provectusenv.com (908) 581-6291

Thank You!

www.provectusenvironmental.com

Will Moody
will.moody@provectusenv.com
(908) 581-6291





